

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JS-6

CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

**Case No. ED CV 24-00477-WDK-MAA**

**Date: November 5, 2024**

**Title: Francisco H. Prado v. County of Riverside, et al.**

---

Present: The Honorable WILLIAM D. KELLER, U.S. District Judge

Deputy Clerk:  
Kevin Reddick

Court Reporter:  
Not Reported

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:  
None Present

Attorneys Present for Defendant:  
None Present

**Proceedings (In Chambers):** ORDER DISMISSING CASE FOR FAILURE TO  
PAY FULL FILING FEE OR FILE A COMPLETE  
REQUEST FOR *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* STATUS

All parties instituting a civil action, suit, or proceeding in a district court of the United States must pay a filing fee. 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). An action may proceed despite a party's failure to pay the filing fee only if the party is granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). However, prisoners must still pay the filing fee but may do so through monthly installment payments if granted *in forma pauperis* status. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b).

On March 1, 2024, Plaintiff, an inmate incarcerated at the High Desert State Prison in Susanville, California, filed a Complaint and on March 29, 2024, filed a request to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("Request"). (Docket Nos. 1, 6). On April 19, 2024, the Court postponed ruling on the Request because Plaintiff failed to provide the required certificate of authorized officer and a certified copy of his prison or jail trust fund statement for the last six months. (Docket No. 7). The Court directed him to refile his Request, including with the missing documentation. (*Id.*). The Court warned that if Plaintiff did not comply within 30 days, the case would be dismissed without prejudice. (*Id.*).

To date, Plaintiff has not paid the filing fee or provided the necessary information to determine *in forma pauperis* status.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

**Case No. ED CV 24-00477-WDK-MAA**

**Date: November 5, 2024**

Title: Francisco H. Prado v. County of Riverside, et al.

---

Accordingly, Plaintiff's Request is DENIED as incomplete, and this action is DISMISSED without prejudice. *See, e.g., Allen v. United States Dist. Ct. Dist. of Nevada*, 2022 WL 16702429, at \*2 (D. Nev. Oct. 25, 2022) (dismissing § 1983 action where inmate failed to pay full filing fee or file a complete request for *in forma pauperis* status).

IT IS SO ORDERED.